

### Previewing New Content

**Focus Statement:** Teacher engages students in previewing activities that require students to access prior knowledge as it relates to the new content.

**Desired Effect:** Evidence (formative data) demonstrates students make a link from what they know to what is about to be learned.

**Example Teacher Instructional Techniques** (Check all that apply)

- Facilitate identification of the basic relationship between prior ideas and new content (purpose for the new content)
- Use preview questions before instruction or a teacher-directed activity
- Use K-W-L strategy or variation
- Provide advanced organizer (e.g. outline, graphic organizer)
- Facilitate a student brainstorm
- Use anticipation guide or other pre-assessment activity
- Use motivational hook/launching activity (e.g. anecdote, short multimedia selection, simulation/demonstration, manipulatives)
- Use digital resources and/or other media to help students make linkages to new content
- Use cultural resources to facilitate students making a link from what they know to the new content
- Facilitate identification of previously seen mathematical patterns or structures

**Example Teacher Techniques for Monitoring for Learning** (Check all that apply)

- Use a Group Activity** to monitor that students can make a link from prior learning to the new content
- Use Student Work** (Recording and Representing) to monitor that students can make a link from prior learning to the new content
- Use Response Methods** to monitor that students can make a link from prior learning to the new content
- Use Questioning Sequences** to monitor that students can make a link from prior learning to the new content

**Example Student Evidence of Desired Effect** (Percent of students who demonstrate achievement of the desired effect that students can make a link from prior learning to the new content. Student evidence is obtained as the teacher uses a monitoring technique. Check all that apply.)

- Identify basic relationship between prior content and new content
- Explain linkages with prior knowledge in individual or group work
- Make predictions about new content
- Summarize the purpose for new content
- Explain how prior standards or learning targets link to the new content
- Explain linkages between mathematical patterns and structure from previous grades/lessons and current content

**Example Adaptations a teacher can make after monitoring student evidence and determining how many students demonstrate the desired learning** (Check all that apply)

- Reteach or use a new teacher technique
- Reorganize groups
- Utilize peer resources
- Modify the task
- Provide additional resources

Not Using (0)	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Applying (3)	Innovating (4)
Strategy was called for but not exhibited.	Uses strategy incorrectly or with parts missing.	Engages students in previewing activities that require students to access prior knowledge as it relates to the new content, but less than the majority of students are displaying the desired effect in student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Engages students in previewing activities that require students to access prior knowledge as it relates to the new content.  The desired effect is displayed in the majority of student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Based on student evidence, implements adaptations to achieve the desired effect in more than 90% of the student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.

### Helping Students Process New Content

**Focus Statement:** Teacher systematically engages student groups in processing and generating conclusions about new content.

**Desired Effect:** Evidence (formative data) demonstrates students can summarize and generate conclusions about the new content during interactions with other students.

**Example Teacher Instructional Techniques** (Check all that apply)

- Break content into appropriate chunks
- Employ formal group processing strategies
  - Jigsaw
  - Reciprocal teaching
  - Concept attainment
- Use informal strategies to engage group members in active processing
  - Predictions
  - Associations
  - Paraphrasing
  - Verbal summarizing
  - Questioning
- Facilitate group members in summarizing and/or generating conclusions
- Facilitate recording and representing new knowledge
- Facilitate the conceptual understanding of critical concepts
- Facilitate quantitative and qualitative reasoning of key mathematical concepts
- Stop at strategic points to appropriately chunk content based on student evidence and feedback

**Example Teacher Techniques for Monitoring for Learning** (Check all that apply)

- Use a Group Activity** to monitor that students can summarize and generate conclusions about the content
- Use Student Work** (Recording and Representing) to monitor that students can summarize and generate conclusions about the content
- Use Response Methods** to monitor that students can summarize and generate conclusions about the content
- Use Questioning Sequences** to monitor that students can summarize and generate conclusions about the content

**Example Student Evidence of Desired Effect** (Percent of students who demonstrate achievement of the desired effect that students can summarize and generate conclusions about the content. Student evidence is obtained as the teacher uses a monitoring technique. Check all that apply.)

- Discuss and answer questions about the new content in groups
- Generate conclusions about the new content in group or written work
- Actively discuss the new content in groups
- Summarize or paraphrase the just learned content
- Record and represent new knowledge
- Make predictions about what they expect to learn next
- Summarize or draw conclusions from complex text and its academic language
- Use repeated reasoning and abstract, quantitative, or qualitative reasoning

**Example Adaptations a teacher can make after monitoring student evidence and determining how many students demonstrate the desired learning** (Check all that apply)

- Reteach or use a new teacher technique
- Reorganize groups
- Utilize peer resources
- Modify task to appropriate chunk of content
- Provide additional resources

Not Using (0)	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Applying (3)	Innovating (4)
Strategy was called for but not exhibited.	Uses strategy incorrectly or with parts missing.	Systematically engages student groups in processing and generating conclusions about new content, but less than the majority of students are displaying the desired effect in student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Systematically engages student groups in processing and generating conclusions about new content.  The desired effect is displayed in the majority of student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Based on student evidence, implements adaptations to achieve the desired effect in more than 90% of the student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.

### Using Questions to Help Students Elaborate on Content

**Focus Statement:** Teacher uses a sequence of increasingly complex questions that require students to critically think about the content.

**Desired Effect:** Evidence (formative data) demonstrates students accurately elaborate on content.

**Example Teacher Instructional Techniques** (Check all that apply)

- Use a sequence of increasingly complex questions as it relates to the content (text) with appropriate wait time
- Ask detail questions
- Ask category questions
- Ask elaboration questions (i.e. inferences, predictions, projections, definitions, generalizations, etc.)
- Ask students to provide evidence (i.e. prior knowledge, textual evidence, etc.) for their elaborations
- Present situations or problems that involve students analyzing how one idea relates to ideas that were not explicitly taught
- Model the process of using evidence to support elaboration
- Model processes and proficiencies to support mathematical elaboration
- Model implementation of appropriate wait time when questioning

**Example Teacher Techniques for Monitoring for Learning** (Check all that apply)

- Use a Group Activity** to monitor that students accurately elaborate on content
- Use Student Work** (Recording and Representing) to monitor that students accurately elaborate on content
- Use Response Methods** to monitor that students accurately elaborate on content
- Use Questioning Sequences** to monitor that students accurately elaborate on content

**Example Student Evidence of Desired Effect** (Percent of students who demonstrate achievement of the desired effect that students accurately elaborate on content. Student evidence is obtained as the teacher uses a monitoring technique. Check all that apply.)

- Answer detail questions about the content
- Identify characteristics of content-related categories
- Make general elaborations about the content
- Provide evidence and support for elaborations
- Identify basic relationships between ideas and how one idea relates to another
- Artifacts/student work demonstrate students can make well-supported elaborative inferences
- Discussions demonstrate students can make well-supported elaborative inferences
- Discussions are grounded in evidence from text, both literary and informational
- Discussions and student work provide evidence of mathematical elaboration

**Example Adaptations a teacher can make after monitoring student evidence and determining how many students demonstrate the desired learning** (Check all that apply)

- Rephrase questions/scaffold questions
- Modify task
- Provide additional resources

Not Using (0)	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Applying (3)	Innovating (4)
Strategy was called for but not exhibited.	Uses strategy incorrectly or with parts missing.	Uses a sequence of increasingly complex questions that require students to critically think about the content, but less than the majority of students are displaying the desired effect in student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Uses a sequence of increasingly complex questions that require students to critically think about the content.  The desired effect is displayed in the majority of student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Based on student evidence, implements adaptations to achieve the desired effect in more than 90% of the student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.

## Reviewing Content

**Focus Statement:** Teacher engages students in brief review of content that highlights the cumulative nature of the content.

**Desired Effect:** Evidence (formative data) demonstrates students know the previously taught critical content.

**Example Teacher Instructional Techniques** (Check all that apply)

- Begin lesson with a brief review of previously taught content
- Use a scaffolding process to systematically show the cumulative nature of the content
- Use specific strategies to help students identify basic relationships between ideas and consciously analyze how one idea relates to another
  - Brief summary
  - Problem that must be solved using previous information
  - Questions that require a review of content
  - Demonstration
  - Brief practice test or exercise
  - Warm-up activity
- Ask students to demonstrate increased fluency and/or accuracy of previously taught processes

**Example Teacher Techniques for Monitoring for Learning** (Check all that apply)

- Use a Group Activity** to monitor that students know the previously taught critical content
- Use Student Work** (Recording and Representing) to monitor that students know the previously taught critical content
- Use Response Methods** to monitor that students know the previously taught critical content
- Use Questioning Sequences** to monitor that students know the previously taught critical content

**Example Student Evidence of Desired Effect** (Percent of students who demonstrate achievement of the desired effect that students know the previously taught critical content. Student evidence is obtained as the teacher uses a monitoring technique. Check all that apply.)

- Identify basic relationships between current and prior ideas and consciously analyze how one idea relates to another
- Summarize the cumulative nature of the content
- Response to class activities demonstrates students recall previous content (e.g. artifacts, pretests, warm-up activities)
- Explain previously taught concepts
- Demonstrate increased fluency and/or accuracy of previously taught processes

**Example Adaptations a teacher can make after monitoring student evidence and determining how many students demonstrate the desired learning** (Check all that apply)

- Reteach or use a new teacher technique
- Reorganize groups
- Utilize peer resources
- Modify task
- Provide additional resources

Not Using (0)	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Applying (3)	Innovating (4)
Strategy was called for but not exhibited.	Uses strategy incorrectly or with parts missing.	Engages students in a brief review of content that highlights the cumulative nature of the content, but less than the majority of students are displaying the desired effect in student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Engages students in a brief review of content that highlights the cumulative nature of the content.  The desired effect is displayed in the majority of student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.	Based on student evidence, implements adaptations to achieve the desired effect in more than 90% of the student evidence at the taxonomy level of the critical content.